

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.	: 10/586,534	Confirmation No.	: 1621
First Named Inventor	: Takashi NOMURA		
Filed	: January 19, 2005		
TC/A.U.	: 2169		
Examiner	: Yu Zhao		
Docket No.	: 029267.58056US		
Title	: Search Data Update Method and Search Data Update System		

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Mail Stop AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

An Interview Summary (PTO-413) was mailed on November 4, 2011. The Applicant thanks the Examiner and the Examiner's supervisor for the courtesies extended during a personal interview with the Applicant's undersigned representative on November 2, 2011.

During the personal interview, the Applicant's undersigned representative discussed the arguments presented in the Reply dated October 26, 2011. The Examiner agreed to withdraw the rejection of claims 1, 3, and 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. The Examiner also indicated that claims 1, 3, and 13 would be allowable if amended to recite the features of dependent claims 8 and 9.¹

The Applicant's undersigned representative then explained various features of the claimed invention. The Interview Summary states that "[w]hen user search a place (e.g. USPTO), the navigation system searches both databases and will display only the new address in the update search data." The Applicant notes that this embodiment is described in paragraph [0066] of the specification. However, paragraph [0048] of the specification

¹ The Applicant's undersigned representative notified the Examiner on November 7, 2011 that the Applicant does not wish to amend the claims in this manner at the current time.

describes another embodiment in which the substance data found in both the initial search data and the update search data are displayed.

The Interview Summary also states that “Applicant representation said, initial search data is stored in the first database, and update search data is stored in the second database. However, in the claim language, it only recited there are initial search data and update search data. It does not recite two databases. Under the broadest reasonable interpretation, both initial search data and update search data can be stored in one database or two separate databases. Applicant may want to clarify the claim language.” The Applicant notes that claim 1 recites that the update search data are provided “separately from the initial search data” (emphasis added). More specifically, claim 13 recites a first storage unit that stores the initial search data, and a second storage that stores the update search data “separately from the initial search data” (emphasis added). The Applicant respectfully submits that this claim language clearly indicates that the initial search data are stored separately.

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Serial No. 10/586,534

Attorney Docket No. 029267.58056US

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

Respectfully submitted,

November 9, 2011

/Suzanne C. Walts/

Jeffrey D. Sanok
Registration No. 32,169

Suzanne C. Walts
Registration No. 60,831

CROWELL & MORING LLP
Intellectual Property Group
P.O. Box 14300
Washington, DC 20044-4300
Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844
JDS/SCW:gs